

**Nottingham City Council**

**Treasury Management Strategy 2022/23**

## INDEX

1.1	Background .....	4
1.2	Reporting requirements .....	5
1.3	Treasury Management Strategy for 2022/23.....	6
1.4	Training.....	7
1.5	Treasury management advisors.....	7
<b>2</b>	<b>The Capital Prudential Indicators 2021/22 – 2026/27 .....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Capital expenditure .....	8
2.2	The Council's financing need (the Capital Financing Requirement).....	8
2.3	Core funds and expected investment balances.....	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Borrowing.....</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Current portfolio position .....	11
3.2	Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity.....	12
3.3	Prospects for interest rates .....	15
3.4	Borrowing strategy including debt management .....	16
3.5	Policy on borrowing in advance of need .....	18
3.6	Debt rescheduling .....	18
3.7	New financial institutions as a source of borrowing and / or types of borrowing .....	18
<b>4</b>	<b>Annual Treasury Investment Strategy.....</b>	<b>19</b>
4.1	Treasury Management Investment policy – management of risk .....	19
4.2	Investment strategy.....	21
4.3	Investment returns expectations.....	21
4.4	Approved Counterparties.....	22
4.5	Investment Limits .....	24
4.6	Investment treasury indicators.....	25
4.7	Other Items.....	25
5.1	The Capital Prudential and Treasury Indicators.....	28
5.1.1	Capital expenditure & the Capital Financing Requirement.....	28
5.1.2	The Authorised limit for external debt and the operational boundary .....	28
5.1.3	Affordability prudential indicators.....	29
a.	Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream.....	29
b.	HRA ratios .....	29
5.2	Annual Minimum Revenue Provision Statement 2022/23.....	31
5.3	Nottingham City Council Treasury Management Policy Statement.....	33
5.4	Economic background and forecast commentary .....	35
5.5	The Treasury Management Role of the Section 151 Officer.....	37

## 1. Introduction

### **2021 revised CIPFA Treasury Management Code and Prudential Code – changes which will impact on future Treasury Management Strategy reports and the risk management framework.**

CIPFA published the revised codes on 20<sup>th</sup> December 2021 and has stated that formal adoption is not required until the 2023/24 financial year. This Council has to have regard to these codes of practice when it prepares the Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy which are taken to Full Council for approval and for related monitoring reports during the financial year.

The revised codes will have the following implications:

- a requirement for the Council to adopt a new debt liability benchmark treasury indicator to support the financing risk management of the capital financing requirement;
- clarify what CIPFA expects a local authority to borrow for and what they do not view as appropriate. This will include the requirement to set a proportionate approach to commercial and service capital investment;
- address ESG issues within the Capital Strategy;
- require implementation of a policy to review commercial property, with a view to divest where appropriate;
- create new Investment Practices to manage risks associated with non-treasury investment (similar to the current Treasury Management Practices);
- ensure that any long term treasury investment is supported by a business model;
- a requirement to effectively manage liquidity and longer term cash flow requirements;
- amendment to TMP1 to address ESG policy within the treasury management risk framework;
- amendment to the knowledge and skills register for individuals involved in the treasury management function - to be proportionate to the size and complexity of the treasury management conducted by each council;
- a new requirement to clarify reporting requirements for service and commercial investment, (especially where supported by borrowing/leverage).

In addition, all investments and investment income must be attributed to one of the following three purposes: -

#### **Treasury management**

Arising from the organisation's cash flows or treasury risk management activity, this type of investment represents balances which are only held until the cash is required for use. Treasury investments may also arise from other treasury risk management activity which seeks to prudently manage the risks, costs or income relating to existing or forecast debt or treasury investments.

#### **Service delivery**

Investments held primarily and directly for the delivery of public services including housing, regeneration and local infrastructure. Returns on this category of investment which are funded by borrowing are permitted only in cases where the income is "either related to the financial viability of the project in question or otherwise incidental to the primary purpose".

### **Commercial return**

Investments held primarily for financial return with no treasury management or direct service provision purpose. Risks on such investments should be proportionate to a council's financial capacity – i.e., that 'plausible losses' could be absorbed in budgets or reserves without unmanageable detriment to local services. An authority must not borrow to invest primarily for financial return.

As this Treasury Management Strategy deals solely with treasury management investments, the categories of service delivery and commercial investments will be dealt with as part of the Capital Strategy report. However, as non-treasury investments including investments in commercial property have implications for cash balances managed by the treasury team, a high level summary of the impact that these investments have, or may have, within the 5 year time horizon of this report will be incorporated in future reports.

Councillors will be updated on how all these changes will impact our current approach and any changes required will be formally adopted within the 2023/24 TMSS report.

### **1.1 Background**

The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer-term cash flow planning, to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer-term cash may involve arranging long or short-term loans, or using longer-term cash flow surpluses. On occasion, when it is prudent and economic, any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.

The contribution the treasury management function makes to the authority is critical, as the balance of debt and investment operations ensure liquidity or the ability to meet spending commitments as they fall due, either on day-to-day revenue or for larger capital projects. The treasury operations will see a balance of the interest costs of debt and the investment income arising from cash deposits affecting the available budget. Since cash balances generally result from reserves and balances, it is paramount to ensure adequate security of the sums invested, as a loss of principal will in effect result in a loss to the General Fund Balance.

CIPFA define treasury management as:

*“The management of the local authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.”*

Whilst any commercial initiatives or loans to third parties will impact on the treasury function, these activities are generally classed as non-treasury activities, (arising usually from capital expenditure), and are separate from the day-to-day treasury management activities.

The Government commissioned a non-statutory review of the Council in November 2020 with the findings published on the 17th December 2020. The published review highlighted the level of risk and planned further borrowing within the capital programme, the high level of debt held by the Council and the reduction in the balances of reserves held which further reduces budget flexibility.

Following the review, the Council published the Nottingham City Council Recovery & Improvement Plan 2021 – 2024. This plan has now been refreshed, renamed as the '*Together for Nottingham*' plan and was approved at a meeting of Full Council on the 10 January 2022. As part of this plan the Capital Strategy and this Treasury Management Strategy continue with the aim to support the Council returning to financial and operational stability. The borrowing and debt management strategies are to reduce the Council's future requirement to borrow, known as the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) and to reduce the level of debt held by the Council. The CFR is forecast to reduce by around £248m in the period 2022/23 to 2026/27 as covered by these strategies. This represents an improved position against the original forecast in debt reduction policy reported 12 months ago.

## **1.2 Reporting requirements**

### **1.2.1 Capital Strategy**

The CIPFA 2017 Prudential and Treasury Management Codes require all local authorities to prepare a capital strategy report, which will provide the following:

- a high-level long term overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services
- an overview of how the associated risk is managed
- the implications for future financial sustainability

The aim of this capital strategy is to ensure that all elected councillors on the Full Council fully understand the overall long-term policy objectives and resulting capital strategy requirements, governance procedures and risk appetite.

The Capital Strategy is reported individually, but alongside the Treasury Management Strategy Statement at Audit Committee, Executive Board and Full Council; non-treasury investments will be reported through the former. This ensures the separation of the core treasury function under security, liquidity and yield principles, and the policy and commercialism investments usually driven by expenditure on an asset. The Capital Strategy will show:

- The corporate governance arrangements for these types of activities;
- The service objectives relating to the investments;
- The expected income, costs and resulting contribution;
- The debt related to the activity and the associated interest costs;
- For non-loan type investments, the cost against the current market value;
- The risks associated with each activity.

If any existing non-treasury investment sustains a loss during the final accounts and audit process, the strategy and revenue implications will be reported through the same procedure as the Capital Strategy.

### 1.2.2 Treasury Management reporting

The Council (delegated to Executive Board except the approval of a new strategy) is currently required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main treasury reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals.

- a. Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy** (this report) - The first, and most important report is forward looking and covers:
  - the capital plans, (including prudential indicators);
  - a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy, (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
  - the treasury management strategy, (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised), including treasury indicators; and
  - an investment strategy, (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).
  
- b. A mid-year treasury management report** – This is primarily a progress report and will update councillors on the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether any policies require revision.
  
- c. An annual treasury report** – This is a backward looking review document and provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

#### Scrutiny

The above reports are required to be adequately scrutinised before being recommended to the Executive Board and/or Full Council. The mid-year report and the annual report are taken to Executive Board and the Treasury Strategy report is taken to Executive Board and Full Council. This scrutiny role is undertaken by the Audit Committee.

### 1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2022/23

The strategy for 2022/23 covers two main areas:

#### Capital issues

- the capital expenditure plans and the associated prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

#### Treasury management issues

- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy including debt management;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- investment policy including creditworthiness; and
- the policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, DLUHC Investment Guidance, DLUHC MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code.

#### **1.4 Training**

The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that councillors with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management. This especially applies to councillors responsible for scrutiny. The Audit Committee received a Treasury Management training session delivered by Link Group on 2 December 2021. Further training will be arranged as required. The training needs of treasury management officers are periodically reviewed.

#### **1.5 Treasury management advisors**

The Council uses Link Treasury Solutions (part of the Link Group) as its external treasury management advisor. The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and ensures that undue reliance is not placed upon the services of our external service providers. All decisions will be undertaken with regards to all available information, including, but not solely, our treasury advisers.

It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

## 2 The Capital Prudential Indicators 2021/22 – 2026/27

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans are reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist councillors' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

### 2.1 Capital expenditure

This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle.

Capital Expenditure £m	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
General Fund	112.456	74.953	152.729	57.574	17.210	16.326	14.581
HRA	37.513	44.050	71.003	54.264	44.642	31.099	29.882
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>149.969</b>	<b>119.003</b>	<b>223.732</b>	<b>111.838</b>	<b>61.852</b>	<b>47.425</b>	<b>44.463</b>

The above capital expenditure figures reflect the 2022/23 Capital Strategy, which seeks to use effective prioritisation to deliver an affordable capital program by limiting expenditure and increasing capital receipts to align the capital plans to the financial context of the Council.

The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need.

Financing of Capital Expenditure £m	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
Capital Receipts	11.930	7.643	27.241	26.051	11.746	7.151	5.703
Capital Grants & Contributions	37.518	61.371	113.655	38.919	9.836	8.975	8.913
Capital Reserves (MRR)	18.706	27.816	40.885	37.563	33.061	31.064	29.847
Revenue Resources	0.063	1.167	7.138	1.901	0.286	0.235	-
<b>Capital expenditure to be financed by borrowing</b>	<b>81.752</b>	<b>21.006</b>	<b>34.813</b>	<b>7.404</b>	<b>6.923</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>149.969</b>	<b>119.003</b>	<b>223.732</b>	<b>111.838</b>	<b>61.852</b>	<b>47.425</b>	<b>44.463</b>

As explained in the Capital Strategy the General Fund has forecast expenditure finance by borrowing relating to existing residual commitments in 2022/23 with no further borrowing forecast in years 2023/24 onwards, with the balance of borrowing attributed to Housing capital expenditure as shown in the table below.

Split of Capital expenditure to be financed by borrowing £m	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
General Fund	71.442	13.003	22.698	-	-	-	-
HRA	10.310	8.003	12.115	7.404	6.923	-	-
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>81.752</b>	<b>21.006</b>	<b>34.813</b>	<b>7.404</b>	<b>6.923</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

### 2.2 The Council's financing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's indebtedness i.e. the underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which

has not immediately been paid for through a revenue or capital resource, will increase the CFR.

The CFR does not increase indefinitely, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge, which broadly reduces the indebtedness in line with each assets life, and so charges the economic consumption of capital assets as they are used.

The CFR includes any other long-term liabilities (e.g. PFI schemes, finance leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility by the PFI/lease provider and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes. The Council has £170.2m of such schemes within the CFR as at 31 March 2022.

The CFR projections are shown below:

	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
<b>Capital Financing Requirement (£m)</b>							
CFR – General Fund	1,113.563	1,082.112	1,057.744	1,002.608	943.444	889.508	836.840
CFR – HRA	298.047	300.767	307.399	309.017	309.969	303.825	297.681
<b>Total CFR</b>	<b>1,411.610</b>	<b>1,382.879</b>	<b>1,365.143</b>	<b>1,311.625</b>	<b>1,253.413</b>	<b>1,193.333</b>	<b>1,134.521</b>
<b>Movement in CFR</b>		<b>(28.731)</b>	<b>(17.736)</b>	<b>(53.518)</b>	<b>(58.212)</b>	<b>(60.080)</b>	<b>(58.812)</b>

<b>Movement in CFR represented by (£m)</b>							
Net financing need for the year (above)	81.752	21.006	34.813	7.404	6.923	0.000	0.000
Less MRP/VRP and other financing movements		49.737	52.549	60.922	65.135	60.080	58.812
<b>Movement in CFR</b>		<b>(28.731)</b>	<b>(17.736)</b>	<b>(53.518)</b>	<b>(58.212)</b>	<b>(60.080)</b>	<b>(58.812)</b>

*Note: the MRP / VRP will include PFI / finance lease annual principal payments and a known increase of £4.7m in MRP from 2024/25 as part of a previous decision to change the MRP Policy.*

The capital programme and the 2022/23 Capital Strategy support the objectives in the Council's *Together for Nottingham* plan including limiting expenditure financed by borrowing and the increase in capital receipts to reduce the Council's forecast requirement to borrow. This has resulted in a reduction in debt levels and will support achieving long-term financial stability. There is a forecast £248.4m overall reduction in the Council's requirement to borrow, known as the CFR, from 2021/22 to 2026/27 which is the sum of the 'Movement in CFR' in the table above and reflects decisions made to date towards the debt reduction objectives within the Debt Policy shown in section 3.4.

### 2.3 Core funds and expected investment balances

The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.). Detailed below are estimates of the year-end balances for resources and anticipated day-to-day cash flow balances.

<b>Year End Resources £m</b>	<b>2020/21 Actual</b>	<b>2021/22 Estimate</b>	<b>2022/23 Estimate</b>	<b>2023/24 Estimate</b>	<b>2024/25 Estimate</b>
<b>Total core funds inc reserves, capital receipts &amp; provisions</b>	<b>278.6</b>	<b>350.4</b>	<b>332.3</b>	<b>317.7</b>	<b>326.3</b>
Working capital*	<b>178.4</b>	<b>200.0</b>	<b>120.0</b>	<b>80.0</b>	<b>60.0</b>
Under/(over) borrowing	297.5	311.7	318.9	301.4	276.5
<b>Expected investments</b>	<b>159.5</b>	<b>238.6</b>	<b>133.5</b>	<b>96.3</b>	<b>109.8</b>

\*Working capital balances shown are estimated year-end; these may be higher mid-year and balances include Government Grants received in advance and so balances held will reduce as expenditure occurs.

### 3 Borrowing

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity and the Council's capital strategy. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

#### 3.1 Current portfolio position

The overall treasury management portfolio as at 31 March 2021 and for the position as at 31 December 2021 are shown below for both borrowing and investments.

<b>Treasury Portfolio</b>				
	actual	actual	current	current
	<b>31.3.21</b>	<b>31.3.21</b>	<b>31.12.21</b>	<b>31.12.21</b>
<b>Treasury investments</b>	£m	Ave rate %	£m	Ave rate %
banks	20.000	0.28%	119.783	0.25%
local authorities	95.000	0.26%	99.000	0.16%
DMADF (H.M.Treasury)	-	-	20.000	0.05%
money market funds	35.600	0.03%	71.700	0.06%
<b>Total treasury investments</b>	<b>150.600</b>	<b>0.21%</b>	<b>310.483</b>	<b>0.16%</b>
<b>Treasury external borrowing</b>				
local authorities	17.000	0.22%	2.000	0.25%
PWLB	866.549	3.39%	850.157	3.38%
market loans inc LOBOs	49.000	4.35%	49.000	4.35%
other	0.233	0.33%	0.233	0.47%
<b>Total external borrowing</b>	<b>932.782</b>	<b>3.38%</b>	<b>901.390</b>	<b>3.43%</b>
<b>Net treasury investments / (borrowing)</b>	<b>(782.182)</b>		<b>(590.907)</b>	

The Council's forward projections for borrowing are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt and other long-term liabilities (PFI & lease liabilities) against the underlying capital borrowing need, (the Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

It should be noted that the forecast under borrowing position is supported by the Council balance sheet i.e. reserves and working capital balances, as these balances reduce further borrowing will be required and additional costs of financing will be incurred. This should be seen in context of the Council's overall budget position and current level of budget flexibility.

£m	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
External Debt at 1 April	1,074.5	932.8	900.9	888.0	864.0	843.5	824.6
Expected change in Debt	(141.7)	(31.8)	(12.9)	(24.0)	(20.5)	(18.9)	(26.4)
Other long-term liabilities inc PFI	191.4	181.3	170.2	158.3	146.2	133.4	119.8
Expected change in OLTL *	(10.0)	(11.1)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.8)	(13.6)	(12.0)
<b>Gross debt at 31 March</b>	<b>1,114.1</b>	<b>1,071.2</b>	<b>1,046.3</b>	<b>1,010.2</b>	<b>976.9</b>	<b>944.4</b>	<b>906.0</b>
<b>Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)</b>	<b>1,411.6</b>	<b>1,382.9</b>	<b>1,365.1</b>	<b>1,311.6</b>	<b>1,253.4</b>	<b>1,193.3</b>	<b>1,134.5</b>
<b>Under / (over) borrowing</b>	<b>297.5</b>	<b>311.7</b>	<b>318.9</b>	<b>301.4</b>	<b>276.5</b>	<b>248.9</b>	<b>228.5</b>

\* (OLTL) – Other Long Term Liabilities

Within the range of prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2022/23 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue or speculative purposes.

The Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and expects to remain compliant against the future estimates below. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this report and the Capital Strategy for 2022/23.

### 3.2 Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

**The operational boundary.** This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt and the ability to fund under-borrowing by other cash resources.

Operational boundary £m	2021/22	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
Debt		1,206.9	1,165.4	1,120.0	1,073.5	1,026.7
Other long-term liabilities (Inc PFI)		158.3	146.2	133.4	119.8	107.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,415.2</b>	<b>1,365.1</b>	<b>1,311.6</b>	<b>1,253.4</b>	<b>1,193.3</b>	<b>1,134.5</b>

**The authorised limit for external debt.** This is a key prudential indicator and represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a legal limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.

Authorised limit £m	2021/22	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
Debt		1,236.9	1,195.4	1,150.0	1,103.5	1,056.7
Other long-term liabilities (Inc PFI)		158.3	146.2	133.4	119.8	107.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,445.2</b>	<b>1,395.1</b>	<b>1,341.6</b>	<b>1,283.4</b>	<b>1,223.3</b>	<b>1,164.5</b>

**Abolition of HRA debt cap.** Separately, the Council was also limited to a maximum HRA CFR through the HRA self-financing regime. (\*) In October 2018, the Government announced a policy change of abolition of the HRA debt cap.

Following this change, any new HRA borrowing should now be compliant with the Prudential Code i.e. prudent, affordable, sustainable and in proportion with the available resources. The Council's plans are reflected in the housing sections of the Capital Strategy, which is again limiting future capital expenditure financed by borrowing in line with the objectives in the Council's *Together for Nottingham* plan.

The planned HRA borrowing is shown below against the now abolished debt cap:

HRA Debt Indicator £m	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
HRA debt cap (abolished)	319.8	319.8	319.8	319.8	319.8	319.8
HRA CFR	300.8	307.4	309.0	310.0	303.8	297.7
Difference to notional cap	19.0	12.4	10.8	9.8	16.0	22.1

**The upper limit on variable interest rate exposure.** – This is a local indicator to control the Council's exposure to interest rate risk including LOBO loans with a call option in the next 12 months. The upper limits on variable rate interest rate exposures, expressed as the amount of principal borrowed for the next five financial years. A high level of variable rate debt presents a risk from increases in interest rates. This figure represents the maximum permitted exposure to such debt.


£m	2021/22	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
<b>Variable rate debt</b>	300.0	200.0	200.0	200.0	200.0	200.0

The level of variable rate debt as at 31 December 2021 was £41.3m.

**Debt limits against the CFR:** - The following table and graph discloses how the indicators on the limits to borrowing compare to actual external debt and the forecast capital financing requirement (CFR). The difference between the CFR and the forecast total debt represents the level of under borrowing expected over the forecast period which is cost efficient, but does increase the Council's exposure to interest rates.

<b>Capital Financing Requirement (including PFI and finance leases)</b>							
	Actual 2020/21	Est 2021/22	Est 2022/23	Est 2023/24	Est 2024/25	Est 2025/26	Est 2026/27
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
HRA CFR	298.0	300.8	307.4	309.0	310.0	303.8	297.7
General Fund CFR	1,113.6	1,082.1	1,057.7	1,002.6	943.4	889.5	836.8

<b>Total CFR</b>	<b>1,411.6</b>	<b>1,382.9</b>	<b>1,365.1</b>	<b>1,311.6</b>	<b>1,253.4</b>	<b>1,193.3</b>	<b>1,134.5</b>
External Borrowing	932.8	900.9	888.0	864.0	843.5	824.6	798.2
Other long term liabilities	181.3	170.2	158.3	146.2	133.4	119.8	107.8
<b>Total Debt</b>	<b>1,114.1</b>	<b>1,071.2</b>	<b>1,046.3</b>	<b>1,010.2</b>	<b>976.9</b>	<b>944.4</b>	<b>906.0</b>
<b>Under/(over) borrowing</b>	<b>297.5</b>	<b>311.7</b>	<b>318.9</b>	<b>301.4</b>	<b>276.5</b>	<b>248.9</b>	<b>228.5</b>
<b>Authorised Limit</b>	<b>1,453.4</b>	<b>1,445.2</b>	<b>1,395.1</b>	<b>1,341.6</b>	<b>1,283.4</b>	<b>1,223.3</b>	<b>1,164.5</b>
<b>Operational Boundary</b>	<b>1,423.4</b>	<b>1,415.2</b>	<b>1,365.1</b>	<b>1,311.6</b>	<b>1,253.4</b>	<b>1,193.3</b>	<b>1,134.5</b>



### 3.3 Prospects for interest rates

The Council has appointed Link Group as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. Link provided the following forecasts on 7<sup>th</sup> February 2022. These are forecasts for certainty rates, gilt yields plus 80 bps. The Interest rate forecast below should be considered alongside the detailed economic background and forecast commentary provided in section 5.4.

Link Group Interest Rate View 7.2.22													
	Mar-22	Jun-22	Sep-22	Dec-22	Mar-23	Jun-23	Sep-23	Dec-23	Mar-24	Jun-24	Sep-24	Dec-24	Mar-25
<b>BANK RATE</b>	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
3 month av. earnings	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
6 month av. earnings	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30
12 month av. earnings	1.40	1.50	1.60	1.70	1.70	1.60	1.60	1.50	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
5 yr PWLB	2.20	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30
10 yr PWLB	2.30	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40
25 yr PWLB	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60
50 yr PWLB	2.20	2.30	2.30	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40

The above forecasts for 3-12 month average earnings previously had been referenced to the London Inter-Bank Offered Rates (LIBOR), however LIBOR and LIBID rates ceased from the end of 2021 and replaced with a rate linked to the Sterling Overnight Index Average (SONIA). The forecasts are now based on expected average earnings by local authorities for 3 to 12 months.

### Investment and borrowing rates

The coronavirus outbreak has done huge economic damage to the UK and to economies around the world. After the Bank of England took emergency action in March 2020 to cut Bank Rate to 0.10%, it left Bank Rate unchanged at its subsequent meetings until raising it to 0.25% at its meeting on 16th December 2021 and again to 0.50% at its meeting on 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

- Investment returns are linked to the short term rates shown in the forecast table above, shows Bank Rate now at 0.50% with the forecast for further increases, one by March 2022 to 0.75%, then by June 2022 to 1.00% and finally by December 2022 to 1.25%. The expected duration for the Bank Rate increases has shortened due to the MPC currently focusing on combating inflation which is forecast to peak at 7.25% in April 2022.
- Borrowing interest rates are shown in the interest rate forecast table for PWLB certainty rates above. There is likely to be a steady rise over the forecast period, with some degree of uplift due to rising treasury yields in the US.
- There are significant upward risk exposure to the forecasts for long term PWLB rates detailed in section 5.4.
- On 25 November 2020, the Chancellor announced the conclusion to the review of margins over gilt yields for PWLB rates; the standard and certainty margins were reduced by 1% but a prohibition was introduced to deny access to borrowing from the PWLB for any local authority which had purchase of assets for yield in its three year capital programme. The new margins over gilt yields are as follows: -
  - **PWLB Standard Rate** is gilt plus 100 basis points (G+100bps)
  - **PWLB Certainty Rate** is gilt plus 80 basis points (G+80bps)

- **PWLB HRA Standard Rate** is gilt plus 100 basis points (G+100bps)
  - **PWLB HRA Certainty Rate** is gilt plus 80bps (G+80bps)
  - **Local Infrastructure Rate** is gilt plus 60bps (G+60bps)
- Borrowing for capital expenditure. Link Group's long-term (beyond 10 years), forecast for Bank Rate is 2.00%. As some PWLB certainty rates are currently below 2.00%, there remains value in considering long-term borrowing from the PWLB where appropriate. Temporary borrowing rates are likely to remain near Bank Rate and may also prove attractive as part of a balanced debt portfolio.
  - The cost of carry (the difference between higher borrowing costs and lower investment returns) will be considered alongside the mitigation of interest rate risk on any new long or medium-term borrowing decision that cause a temporary increase in cash balances as this position will, most likely, incur a revenue cost.

### 3.4 Borrowing strategy including debt management

The Council's policy on borrowing is to limit planned expenditure financed by borrowing and to seek to reduce the level of debt held by the Council in line with the objectives in the published Together for Nottingham plan.

The Capital Strategy includes the Voluntary Debt Reduction Policy Statement (Appendix B) including a debt policy in respect of new capital expenditure. The debt policy section is shown below:

- 2021/22 - **To restrict new borrowing to no more than the level of the annual debt being repaid.** (i.e. No new schemes financed by borrowing). The Capital Programme has been reduced to existing commitments and no schemes added funded by borrowing.
- 2022/23 - 2026/27 - **Nil new borrowing throughout the period.** No new schemes financed by borrowing to be added to the Capital Programme, unless the scheme is required to enable compliance with legal or statutory duties (e.g. Health and Safety). Whereby any borrowing will be capped by the forecast headroom as indicated (including updates reported as necessary) within the CFR / external as shown below, this headroom will be restricted to measurement with the least headroom. This applies both to general fund and public sector housing debt.

Debt Measurement	VDRP Original Forecast (Approved: March 21) £m	Debt / VDRP Qtr3 Actual & Forecast £m	Movement (Under) / Over £m
<b>CFR</b>			
2020/21	1,443.5	<b>1,411.6</b>	<b>(31.9)</b>
2021/22	1,434.2	1,382.9	<b>(51.3)</b>
2022/23	1,390.6	1,365.1	<b>(25.5)</b>
2023/24	1,337.3	1,311.6	<b>(25.7)</b>
2024/25	1,272.5	1,253.4	<b>(19.1)</b>
<b>External Debt</b>			
2020/21	981.6	<b>932.8</b>	<b>(48.8)</b>
2021/22	991	900.9	<b>(90.1)</b>
2022/23	986.2	888.0	<b>(98.2)</b>
2023/24	954.8	864.0	<b>(90.8)</b>

2024/25	927.4	843.5	(83.9)
---------	-------	-------	--------

The policy also states that “Nothing in this policy shall prevent the Council from exercising normal day-to-day management of its borrowings through Treasury Management activities and/or the use of internal borrowing.”

**Borrowing Strategy:** The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position and does not expect to require new borrowing for the General Fund in the forecast period.

This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council’s reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk remains a significant consideration.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2022/23 treasury operations. Interest rates are closely monitored in financial markets and a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances will be taken:

- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a much sharper RISE in borrowing rates than that currently forecast, perhaps arising from an acceleration in the rate of increase in central rates in the USA and UK, an increase in world economic activity, or a sudden increase in inflation risks, then the portfolio position will be re-appraised.*

The Councils longer-term requirement for borrowing, known as the CFR will be a key consideration before taking on new or replacement borrowing, where cash flow permits debt upon maturity will be repaid without replacement to bring the overall level of debt down and reduce the Council’s cost of financing.

However, to manage interest rate risk this strategy includes the option to fund future years’ borrowing requirements including maturing loans or to reduce the level of internal borrowing providing this does not exceed the authorised limit for borrowing.

Any borrowing will be subject to the Council’s borrowing limits, maturity limits and the limits on the exposure to variable interest rates shown in section 3.2 to comply with the Prudential Indicators in section 5, and will be reported to the Executive Board and Audit Committee at the next available opportunity following its action.

**Sources:** The approved sources of long-term and short-term borrowing are:

- Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) and its successor body
- any institution approved for investments (see below)
- any other bank or building society authorised to operate in the UK
- UK public and private sector pension funds (except Nottinghamshire County Council Pension Fund)
- Insurance and Assurance companies
- capital market bond investors
- UK Municipal Bonds Agency plc and other special purpose companies created to enable local authority bond issues

In addition, capital finance may be raised by the following methods that are not borrowing, but may be classed as other debt liabilities:

- finance leases
- Private Finance Initiative
- sale and leaseback

### **3.5 Policy on borrowing in advance of need**

Government investment guidance expects local authorities to have a policy for borrowing in advance of need, in part because of the credit risk of investing the surplus cash. The Council's policy is to borrow to meet its forecast Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), including an allowance (currently £30m) for liquidity risks. The Council will only borrow in advance of need where there is a clear business case for doing so and will only do so for the forecast capital programme, to replace maturing loans, or to meet other expected cash flows.

### **3.6 Debt rescheduling**

The PWLB allows authorities to repay loans before maturity and either pay a premium or receive a discount according to a set formula based on current interest rates. This is currently unlikely to occur as there is still a very large difference between the PWLB premature redemption rates and new borrowing rates.

Other lenders may also be prepared to negotiate premature redemption terms. The Council may take advantage of this and replace some loans with new loans, or repay loans without replacement, where this is expected to lead to an overall cost saving or a reduction in risk.

The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile).

All rescheduling will be reported to the Executive Board and Audit Committee, at the earliest meeting following its action.

### **3.7 New financial institutions as a source of borrowing and / or types of borrowing**

Currently the PWLB Certainty Rate is set at gilts + 80 basis points for both HRA and Non-HRA borrowing. Although significant new borrowing is very unlikely in the forecast period, consideration may still need to be given to alternative funding sources for the following reasons:

- Local authorities (primarily shorter dated maturities out to 3 years or so – still cheaper than the Certainty Rate)
- Financial institutions (primarily insurance companies and pension funds but also some banks, out of forward dates where the objective is to avoid a “cost of carry” or to achieve refinancing certainty over the next few years)
- Municipal Bonds Agency (possibly still a viable alternative depending on market circumstances prevailing at the time)

With support from our treasury management advisors we will keep informed as to the relative merits of each of these alternative funding sources.

## 4 Annual Treasury Investment Strategy

### 4.1 Treasury Management Investment policy – management of risk

The Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) and CIPFA have extended the meaning of ‘investments’ to include both financial and non-financial investments. This report deals solely with financial investments, (as managed by the treasury management team). Non-financial investments, essentially the purchase of income yielding assets, are covered in the Capital Strategy, (a separate report).

The Council’s investment policy has regard to the following: -

- DLUHC’s Guidance on Local Government Investments (“the Guidance”)
- CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes 2017 (“the Code”)
- CIPFA Treasury Management Guidance Notes 2018

The Council’s investment priorities will be security first, portfolio liquidity second and then yield, (return). The Council aims to achieve the optimum return (yield) on its investments commensurate with proper levels of security and liquidity and with the Council’s risk appetite. In the current economic climate, it is considered appropriate to keep the majority of investments short term laddering maturities to benefit from anticipated increases to short term interest rates and to cover cash flow needs. However, where appropriate (from an internal as well as external perspective), the Council will also consider the value available in periods up to 5 years with high credit rated financial institutions, as well as wider range fund options on the basis the medium term cash flow forecast permits this.

The above guidance from the DLUHC and CIPFA place a high priority on the management of risk. This authority has adopted a prudent approach to managing risk and defines its risk appetite by the following means: -

1. Minimum acceptable **credit criteria** are applied in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties. This also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the long-term ratings.
2. **Other information:** ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To achieve this consideration the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as “**credit default swaps**” and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.
3. **Other information sources** used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the financial sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.
4. This Council has defined the list of **types of investment instruments** that the treasury management team are authorised to use. There are two categories of investment: ‘specified’ and ‘non-specified’.

- **Specified investments** are those with a high level of credit quality and subject to a maturity limit of one year or have less than a year left to run to maturity if originally they were originally classified as being non-specified investments solely due to the maturity period exceeding one year.
  - **Non-specified investments** are those with less high credit quality, may be for periods in excess of one year, and/or are more complex instruments which require greater consideration by councillors and officers before being authorised for use.
5. **Non-specified investments limit.** The Council has determined that it will limit the maximum total exposure to non-specified investments (see table 2 in section 4.4).
  6. **Approved Counterparties and limits**, (amounts and maturity), for each type of counterparty will be set through applying the matrix table 1 in section 4.4.
  7. **Investment limits** are set for each type of investment in table 3 in section 4.5.
  8. This authority will set a limit for the amount of its investments which are invested for **longer than 365 days**, shown in table 4 in section 4.6.
  9. Investments will only be placed with counterparties from countries with a specified minimum **sovereign rating**, (see section 4.4 – specified investments).
  10. This authority has engaged **external consultants**, to provide expert advice on how to optimise an appropriate balance of security, liquidity and yield, given the risk appetite of this authority in the context of the expected level of cash balances and need for liquidity throughout the year.
  11. All investments will be denominated in **sterling**.
  12. As a result of the change in accounting standards for 2020/21 under IFRS 9, this authority will consider the implications of investment instruments which could result in an adverse movement in the value of the amount invested and resultant charges at the end of the year to the General Fund. (In November 2018, the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, [MHCLG], concluded a consultation for a temporary override to allow English local authorities time to adjust their portfolio of all pooled investments by announcing a statutory override to delay implementation of IFRS 9 for five years ending 31.3.23.

This authority will also pursue **value for money** in treasury management and will monitor the yield from investment income against appropriate benchmarks for investment performance, (see paragraph 4.7). Regular monitoring of investment performance will be carried out during the year.

#### **Changes in risk management policy from last year.**

- The counterparty limits have increased from £10m to £20m for higher credit rated organisations.
- Increase from £10m to £30m for each Money Market Fund (MMF) with maximum of £120m across all MMF's.

- Removal of the limit per custodian account. These are required to hold tradable instruments such as Treasury Bills and Certificates of Deposit. The limits on the individual investment counterparties will remain.

The changes are supported by internal analysis and external advice on appropriate limits based on similar size councils and to facilitate forecast investment balances in the period covered by this investment strategy.

These changes will allow the higher balances of surplus cash to be invested across the higher credit quality counterparties whilst maintaining a good level of diversification across the portfolio. The remaining criteria are unchanged from last year.

## 4.2 Investment strategy

**Objectives:** Both the CIPFA Code and the DLUHC Guidance require the Council to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The Council's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk receiving unsuitably low investment income. For liquidity purposes investment balances are expected to be maintained above £30m.

**Strategy:** Investments will be made with reference to the forecast core cash balances, cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months). Greater returns are usually obtainable by investing for longer periods. While cash balances are required in order to manage the ups and downs of the known cash flow cycle, where cash sums can be identified that could be invested for longer periods, the value to be obtained from longer term investments will be carefully assessed and considered.

- If it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to rise significantly within the time horizon being considered, then consideration will be given to keeping most investments as being short term or variable.
- Conversely, if it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to fall within that time period, consideration will be given to locking in higher rates currently obtainable, for longer periods.

## 4.3 Investment returns expectations

The current forecast shown in paragraph 3.3, includes a forecast for a further increases in Bank Rate in 2022/23.

The suggested investment earnings rates for returns on new investments placed for periods up to about three months during each financial year are as follows:

Average % for new investments in each year	
2022/23	1.00%
2023/24	1.25%
2024/25	1.25%
2025/26	1.25%
Long term later years	2.00%

#### 4.4 Approved Counterparties

The Council may invest its surplus funds with any of the counterparty types in table 1 below, subject to the cash limits (per counterparty) and the time limits shown.

<b>Credit Rating</b>	<b>Banks Unsecured</b>	<b>Banks Secured</b>	<b>Government</b>
UK Govt	n/a	n/a	£ Unlimited 50 years
AAA	£20m 12 months	£20m 10 years	£20m 10 years
AA+	£20m 12 months	£20m 5 years	£20m 10 years
AA	£20m 12 months	£20m 5 years	£20m 10 years
AA-	£20m 12 months	£20m 2 years	£20m 5 years
A+	£20m 12 months	£20m 2 years	£20m 5 years
A	£20m 12 months	£20m 2 years	£20m 5 years
A-	£10m 6 months	£20m 13 months	£20m 5 years
None	n/a	n/a	£20m * 5 years
Money Market Funds (AAA or equivalent)	£30m per fund		
Strategic pooled funds (AAA or equivalent)	£20m per fund		

\* Includes other UK Local Authorities – limit per Authority

This table must be read in conjunction with the notes below: -

**Lloyds Bank:** The Council's current provider of banking services, will be subject to the limits in table 1 for investment balances, but also accommodate necessary short-term cash management balances within its bank accounts for periods of up to 4 days with no maximum sum.

**Credit Rating:** Investment limits are set by reference to the lowest published long-term credit rating from Fitch, Moody's or Standard & Poor's. Where available, the credit rating relevant to the specific investment or class of investment is used, otherwise the counterparty credit rating is used. However, investment decisions are not made solely based on credit ratings, and all other relevant factors including external advice will be taken into account.

**Banks Unsecured:** Accounts, deposits, certificates of deposit and senior unsecured bonds with banks and building societies, other than multilateral development banks. These investments are subject to the risk of credit loss via a bail-in should the regulator determine that the bank is failing or likely to fail.

**Banks Secured:** Covered bonds, reverse repurchase agreements and other collateralised arrangements with banks and building societies. These investments are secured on the bank's assets, which limits the potential losses in the unlikely event of insolvency, and means that they

are exempt from bail-in. Where there is no investment specific credit rating, but the collateral upon which the investment is secured has a credit rating, the highest of the collateral credit rating and the counterparty credit rating will be used to determine cash and time limits. The combined secured and unsecured investments in any one bank will not exceed the cash limit for secured investments.

**Government:** Debt Management Office deposits, loans, bonds and bills issued or guaranteed by national governments, regional and local authorities, supranational banks and multilateral development banks. These investments are not subject to bail-in, and there is an insignificant risk of insolvency. Investments with the UK Central Government may be made in unlimited amounts for up to 50 years.

**Money Market Funds:** A highly rated, highly diversified pooled investment vehicle whose assets mainly comprise of short-term instruments and offer same-day or short notice liquidity and very low or no price volatility. The Money Market Fund definition and limit includes CNAV, LVNAV and VNAV Cash and Cash-plus funds. All are highly regulated and have to operate within minimum credit quality and diversification requirements as set out by rating agencies to maintain an AAA money market fund rating. These are used as an alternative to short term deposits and instant access bank accounts.

**Strategic pooled funds:** Bond, equity and property funds that offer enhanced returns over the longer term but are more volatile in the short term. These allow the Authority to diversify into asset classes other than cash without the need to own and manage the underlying investments. Because these funds have no defined maturity date, but are available for withdrawal after a notice period, their performance and continued suitability in meeting the Authority's investment objectives will be monitored regularly. Funds will only be considered if they have an AAA fund credit rating.

#### **Risk Assessment and Credit Ratings:**

Credit ratings are obtained and monitored by the Council's treasury advisers, who will notify changes in ratings as they occur. Where an entity has its credit rating downgraded so that it fails to meet the approved investment criteria then:

- no new investments will be made,
- any existing investments that can be recalled or sold at no cost will be, and full consideration will be given to the recall or sale of all other existing investments with the affected counterparty.

Where a credit rating agency announces that a credit rating is on review for possible downgrade (also known as "rating watch negative" or "credit watch negative") so that it may fall below the approved rating criteria, then only investments that can be withdrawn on the next working day will be made with that organisation until the outcome of the review is announced. This policy will not apply to negative outlooks, which indicate a long-term direction of travel rather than an imminent change of rating.

**Specified Investments:** The DLUHC Guidance defines specified investments as those:

- denominated in pound sterling,
- due to be repaid within 12 months,
- not defined as capital expenditure by legislation, and
- invested with one of:

- the UK Government,
- a UK local authority, parish council or community council, or
- a body or investment scheme of “high credit quality”.

The Council defines “high credit quality” organisations and securities as those having a credit rating of A- or higher that are domiciled in the UK or a foreign country with a sovereign rating of AA+ or higher. For money market funds and other pooled funds “high credit quality” is defined as those having a credit rating of AAA from at least one of the main credit rating agencies.

**Non-specified Investments:** Any investment not meeting the definition of a specified investment is classed as non-specified. The Council does not intend to make any financial investments (treasury management investments) denominated in foreign currencies, nor any that are defined as capital expenditure by legislation, such as company shares. Non-specified treasury investments will therefore be limited to long-term investments, i.e. those that are due to mature in 12 months or longer. The limits for non-specified investments are shown in **table 2** below.

<b>Table 2: Non-Specified Investment Limit</b>	
	<b>Cash limit</b>
Unsecured Bank Investments > 365 days *	£0m
Secured Bank Investments > 365 days *	£40m
Government Investments > 365 days (inc Local Authorities) *	£100m
<b>Total non-specified investments</b>	<b>£100m</b>

\* The table above shows the non-specified investment limits by the investment type. The investment limits in Table 1 & 3 also apply.

#### **4.5 Investment Limits**

In order to limit the amount of available reserves put at risk in the case of a single default, the maximum that will be lent unsecured to any one organisation (other than the UK Government and Money Market Funds) will be £20 million. A group of banks under the same ownership will be treated as a single organisation for limit purposes. Limits will also be placed on Money Market Funds, foreign countries and industry sectors as below. Investments in Money Market Funds & Strategic Pooled Funds and multilateral development banks do not count against the limit for any single foreign country, since the risk is diversified over many countries.

<b>Table 3: Investment Limits</b>	<b>Cash limit</b>
Any single organisation, except the UK Central Government and Money Market Funds	£20m each
UK Central Government	Unlimited
Any group of organisations under the same ownership	£20m per group
Foreign countries	£40m per country
Money Market Funds (AAA or equivalent *)	£30m per fund & £120m in total
Strategic Pooled Funds (AAA or equivalent *)	£20m per fund & £40m in total

\* Money market fund “fund” ratings are different to individual counterparty ratings, coming under either specific “MMF” or “Bond Fund” rating criteria.

#### 4.6 Investment treasury indicators

The Council measures and manages its exposures to treasury management risks using the following indicators. These voluntary indicators are a guide to risk levels and they may be breached from time to time, depending on movements in interest rates and counterparty criteria. These will be reported against, in the mid-year or Annual Report.

##### Security

The Council has adopted a voluntary measure of its exposure to credit risk by monitoring the value-weighted average credit rating of its investment portfolio. This is calculated by applying a score to each investment (AAA=1, AA+=2, etc.) and taking the arithmetic average, weighted by the size of each investment. Unrated investments are assigned a score based on their perceived risk.

- Target portfolio average credit rating = A

##### Liquidity

The Council balances not keeping excessive amounts of cash in call accounts to reduce the cost of carrying excess cash against the liquidity risk of not having cash available to meet unexpected payments. To mitigate the liquidity risk the Council has access to borrow additional, same day, cash from other local authorities and seeks to maintain:

- Liquid short term deposits of at least £30m available within a week’s notice.
- Bank overdraft - £0m

##### Yield

The Council has adopted a voluntary measure of yield against industry benchmark rates

- Average Investment return against the 7-day SONIA rate

##### Interest Rate Exposures

The purpose of this indicator is to control the Council’s exposure to the risk of incurring losses by seeking early repayment of its investments. The limits reflect the forecast cash balances after each year-end plus headroom to accelerate borrowing to manage interest rate risk as detailed in section 3.4. The limits on the long-term principal sum invested to final maturities beyond the period end will be:

<b>£m</b>	<b>2022/23</b>	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>
Principal sums invested for longer than 365 days	£100m	£100m	£100m
Current investments as at 31.12.21 in excess of 1 year maturing in each year	£10.0m	£9.9m	£0m

#### 4.7 Other Items

There are additional items that the Council is obliged to include in its Treasury Management Strategy in line with CIPFA or DLUHC guidance.

#### **4.7.1 Liquidity Management:**

The Council uses purpose-built cash flow forecasting software to determine the maximum period for which funds may prudently be committed. The forecast is compiled on a prudent basis, with receipts under-estimated and payments over-estimated to minimise the risk of the Council being forced to borrow on unfavourable terms to meet its financial commitments.

#### **4.8.2 Policy on Use of Financial Derivatives:**

Local authorities have previously made use of financial derivatives embedded into loans and investments both to reduce interest rate risk (e.g. interest rate collars and forward deals) and to reduce costs or increase income at the expense of greater risk (e.g. LOBO loans and callable deposits). The general power of competence in Section 1 of the *Localism Act 2011* removes much of the uncertainty over local authorities' use of standalone financial derivatives (i.e. those that are not embedded into a loan or investment).

The Council will only use standalone financial derivatives (such as swaps, forwards, futures and options) where they can be clearly demonstrated to reduce the overall level of the financial risks that the Council is exposed to. Additional risks presented, such as credit exposure to derivative counterparties, will be taken into account when determining the overall level of risk. Embedded derivatives, including those present in pooled funds and forward starting transactions, will not be subject to this policy, although the risks they present will be managed in line with the overall treasury risk management strategy.

Financial derivative transactions may be arranged with any organisation that meets the approved investment criteria. The current value of any amount due from a derivative counterparty will count against the counterparty credit limit and the relevant foreign country limit.

#### **4.8.3 Policy on Apportioning Interest to the HRA:**

On 1st April 2012, the Council notionally split each of its existing long-term loans into General Fund and HRA pools. In the future, new long-term loans borrowed will be assigned in their entirety to one pool or the other. Interest payable and other costs/income arising from long-term loans (e.g. premiums and discounts on early redemption) will be charged/ credited to the respective revenue account. Differences between the value of the HRA loans pool and the HRA's underlying need to borrow (adjusted for HRA balance sheet resources available for investment) will result in a notional cash balance which may be positive or negative. This balance will be measured and interest transferred between the General Fund and HRA at the average 3 month UK Government Treasury Bill interest rate to reflect a credit risk free return.

#### **4.8.4 Policy on Council Subsidiary Deposit Facility:**

The Council has a number of subsidiary companies within the group organisation, as such the it may provide a safe haven deposit facility for surplus cash balances held by these companies. These funds are available on request subject to minimum notice period and balances would attract interest at a rate agreed at the time of the request.

#### **4.8.5 Management of treasury risk:**

Risk management plays a fundamental role in treasury activities, due to the value and nature of transactions involved. Details of the specific risks identified in respect of treasury management within the Council are adopted to form a Risk Management Action Plan. This

Plan is reviewed at regular intervals at meetings of the Treasury Management Panel and an overview is reported to Audit Committee as part of the Treasury Management reporting.

## 5 Appendices

### 5.1 The Capital Prudential and Treasury Indicators

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist councillors' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

#### 5.1.1 Capital expenditure & the Capital Financing Requirement

See paragraphs 2.1 & 2.2

#### 5.1.2 The Authorised limit for external debt and the operational boundary

**The operational boundary.** This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt and the ability to fund under-borrowing by other cash resources.

Operational boundary £m	2021/22	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
Debt		1,206.9	1,165.4	1,120.0	1,073.5	1,026.7
Other long-term liabilities (Inc PFI)		158.3	146.2	133.4	119.8	107.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,415.2</b>	<b>1,365.1</b>	<b>1,311.6</b>	<b>1,253.4</b>	<b>1,193.3</b>	<b>1,134.5</b>

**The authorised limit for external debt.** This is a key prudential indicator and represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a legal limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.

Authorised limit £m	2021/22	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate	2025/26 Estimate	2026/27 Estimate
Debt		1,236.9	1,195.4	1,150.0	1,103.5	1,056.7
Other long-term liabilities (Inc PFI)		158.3	146.2	133.4	119.8	107.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,445.2</b>	<b>1,395.1</b>	<b>1,341.6</b>	<b>1,283.4</b>	<b>1,223.3</b>	<b>1,164.5</b>

### 5.1.3 Affordability prudential indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances.

These indicators reflect decisions on future capital plans and policies detailed in the Capital & Strategy with the objective to reduce the forecast cost of financing and support the Council returning to financial and operational stability.

#### a. Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital, (borrowing and other long term obligation costs net of investment income), against the net revenue stream.

%	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate
General Fund	17.63%	17.67%	17.40%	16.30%	16.29%
HRA	14.06%	15.29%	15.61%	15.87%	15.94%

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in this report. The net revenue stream is shown as the total sum to be raised from government grants, business rates, council and other taxes (General Fund) and rent income (HRA). From 1 April 2012, the General fund income figure includes ring-fenced NET (tram) government grant and revenue raised from the Workplace Parking Levy.

#### b. HRA ratios

The first of two local HRA indicators below shows the ratio debt to revenue showing the sustainability of the debt load over the forecast period.

	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate
HRA debt £m	298.047	300.767	307.399	309.017	309.969
HRA debt cap £m (abolished)	319.800	319.800	319.800	319.800	319.800
HRA revenues £m	106.254	106.663	106.636	106.873	106.873
Ratio of debt to revenues %	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9

The second indicator shows the HRA debt per dwelling based on the forecast debt level.

	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate
HRA debt £m	298.047	300.767	307.399	309.017	309.969
Number of HRA dwellings	25,284	25,149	25,099	24,715	24,531
Debt per dwelling £'s	11,788	11,959	12,247	12,503	12,636

#### 5.1.4 Maturity structure of borrowing

Maturity structure of borrowing. These gross limits are set to reduce the Council's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits.

<b>Maturity structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2022/23</b>			
	<b>Lower</b>	<b>Upper</b>	<b>Actual at 30.09.2021</b>
Under 12 months	0%	15%	3%
12 months to 2 years	0%	15%	4%
2 years to 5 years	0%	15%	10%
5 years to 10 years	0%	20%	17%
10 years to 25 years	0%	20%	7%
25 years to 40 years	20%	40%	31%
40 years and above	20%	40%	29%

Please note that the maturity date is deemed to be the next call date.

#### 5.1.5 Control of interest rate exposure

Please see paragraphs 3.2.

**The upper limit on variable interest rate exposure.** – This is a local indicator to control the Council's exposure to interest rate risk including LOBO loans with a call option in the next 12 months. The upper limits on variable rate interest rate exposures, expressed as the amount of principal borrowed for the next three financial years. A high level of variable rate debt presents a risk from increases in interest rates. This figure represents the maximum permitted exposure to such debt.

<b>£m</b>	<b>2021/22</b>	<b>2022/23 Estimate</b>	<b>2023/24 Estimate</b>	<b>2024/25 Estimate</b>	<b>2025/26 Estimate</b>	<b>2026/27 Estimate</b>
<b>Variable rate debt</b>	300.0	200.0	200.0	200.0	200.0	200.0

The level of variable rate debt as at 31 December 2021 was £41.3m.

## 5.2 Annual Minimum Revenue Provision Statement 2022/23

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP).

MHCLG (now DLUHC) regulations were issued on 2 February 2018 which require the Council to approve an MRP statement in advance of each year.

The following statement only incorporates options recommended in the Guidance as well as locally determined prudent methods.

- For capital expenditure incurred before 2007/08, and for supported capital expenditure (defined as a borrowing allocation financed by Government grant) incurred on or after that date, the MRP policy will be to charge an amount per Schedule A below. This charge is based on the principle of repaying the outstanding balance as 31 March 2016 over a 50 year period (2066/67) as per profile approved in 2017/18.
- For unsupported capital expenditure incurred after 2007/08, MRP will be determined by charging the expenditure over the expected useful life of the relevant assets in equal instalments *or* as the principal repayment on an annuity, starting in the year after the asset becomes operational. MRP on purchases of freehold land will be charged over 50 years. MRP on expenditure not related to fixed assets but which has been capitalised by regulation or direction will be charged over 20 years. (*Option 3 in the guidance*)
- For assets acquired by finance leases or the Private Finance Initiative, MRP will be determined as being equal to the element of the rent or charge that goes to write down the balance sheet liability, to reflect accounting changes under IFRS16. The Section 151 Officer will determine the appropriate treatment, having regard to the MRP Guidance, in complex cases.
- Where loans are made to other bodies for their capital expenditure, No MRP will be charged, but instead will apply the capital receipts arising from principal repayments to reduce the outstanding debt in the capital financing requirement. The principal repayment profile is as shown in 3<sup>rd</sup> party loan agreements and where principal repayments are not broadly spread over the life of the loan or there is an anticipated expected loss on the loan, the Section 151 Officer may determine that MRP be made for reasons of prudence.
- No MRP will be charged in respect of assets held within the Housing Revenue Account.
- Voluntary MRP may be made at the discretion of the Section 151 Officer.
- Capital receipts maybe voluntarily set-aside to clear debt or reduce the CFR.
- MRP Overpayments - A change introduced by the revised DLUHC MRP Guidance was the allowance that any charges made over the statutory minimum revenue provision (MRP), voluntary revenue provision or overpayments, can, if needed, be reclaimed in later years if deemed necessary or prudent. In order for

these sums to be reclaimed for use in the budget, this policy must disclose the cumulative overpayment made each year. Up until the 31 March 2022 the total VRP overpayments are expected to be £5.283m.

Capital expenditure incurred during 2022/23 will not be subject to a MRP charge until 2023/24.

### **Schedule A - MRP profile for outstanding Supported Borrowing**

Supported Borrowing is capital expenditure incurred before 2007/08, and for supported capital expenditure incurred on or after that date.

	Year	MRP Payment	Supported Borrowing Balance
6	2022/23	76,894	204,579,667
7	2023/24	76,893	204,502,774
8	2024/25	4,755,878	199,746,895
9	2025/26	4,755,878	194,991,017
10	2026/27	4,755,878	190,235,138
11	2027/28	4,755,878	185,479,260
12	2028/29	4,755,878	180,723,381
13	2029/30	4,755,878	175,967,503
14	2030/31	4,755,878	171,211,624
15	2031/32	4,755,878	166,455,746
16	2032/33	4,755,878	161,699,867
17	2033/34	4,755,878	156,943,989
18	2034/35	4,755,878	152,188,111
19	2035/36	4,755,878	147,432,232
20	2036/37	4,755,878	142,676,354
21	2037/38	4,755,878	137,920,475
22	2038/39	4,755,878	133,164,597
23	2039/40	4,755,878	128,408,718
24	2040/41	4,755,878	123,652,840
25	2041/42	4,755,878	118,896,961
26	2042/43	4,755,878	114,141,083
27	2043/44	4,755,878	109,385,204
28	2044/45	4,755,878	104,629,326
29	2045/46	4,755,878	99,873,448
30	2046/47	4,755,878	95,117,569
31	2047/48	4,755,878	90,361,691
32	2048/49	4,755,878	85,605,812
33	2049/50	4,755,878	80,849,934
34	2050/51	4,755,878	76,094,055
35	2051/52	4,755,878	71,338,177
36	2052/53	4,755,878	66,582,298
37	2053/54	4,755,878	61,826,420
38	2054/55	4,755,878	57,070,541
39	2055/56	4,755,878	52,314,663
40	2056/57	4,755,878	47,558,785
41	2057/58	4,755,878	42,802,906
42	2058/59	4,755,878	38,047,028
43	2059/60	4,755,878	33,291,149
44	2060/61	4,755,878	28,535,271
45	2061/62	4,755,878	23,779,392
46	2062/63	4,755,878	19,023,514
47	2063/64	4,755,878	14,267,635
48	2064/65	4,755,878	9,511,757
49	2065/66	4,755,878	4,755,878
50	2066/67	4,755,878	-

### **5.3 Nottingham City Council Treasury Management Policy Statement**

The following treasury management policy statement is required to be adopted annually by Full Council as part the Treasury Management Strategy.

#### **1 Introduction and Background**

1.1 *The Council has adopted in full the recommendations of CIPFA's Treasury Management in the Public Services (as issued in 2017): Code of Practice (the Code), as described in Section 5 of the Code.*

1.2 *Accordingly, the Council will create and maintain, as the cornerstones for effective treasury management:-*

- *A treasury management policy statement, stating the policies, objectives and approach to risk management of its treasury management activities*
- *Suitable treasury management practices (TMPs), setting out the manner in which the Council will seek to achieve those policies and objectives, and prescribing how it will manage and control those activities.*

1.3 *The Council via Full Council, will receive reports on its treasury management policies, practices, activities and the annual treasury management strategy in advance of the year in the form prescribed in its TMPs.*

1.4 *The Council delegates responsibility for the implementation and monitoring of its treasury management policies and practices to Executive Board. Executive Board will receive reports as a minimum, an annual strategy and plan in advance of the year, a mid-year review and an annual report after its close, in the form prescribed in its TMPs.*

1.5 *The Council delegates responsibility for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions to the Chief Financial Officer (Section 151 Officer), who will act in accordance with the organisation's policy statement and TMPs and CIPFA's Standard of Professional Practice on Treasury Management.*

1.6 *The Council nominates Audit Committee to be responsible for ensuring effective scrutiny of the treasury management strategy and policies.*

#### **2 Policies and Objectives of Treasury Management Activities**

2.1 *The Council defines its treasury management activities as:*

*"The management of the organisation's borrowing, investments and cash flows, including its banking, money market and capital market transactions, the effective control of the risks associated with those activities and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."*

2.2 *This Council regards the successful identification, monitoring and control of risk to be the prime criteria by which the effectiveness of its treasury management activities will be measured. Accordingly, the analysis and reporting of treasury management activities*

*will focus on their risk implications for the organisation, and any financial instruments entered into to manage these risks.*

*2.3 This Council acknowledges that effective treasury management will provide support towards the achievement of its business and service objectives. It is therefore committed to the principles of achieving value for money in treasury management, and to employing suitable comprehensive performance measurement techniques, within the context of effective risk management.*

*2.4 The Council's borrowing will be affordable, sustainable, prudent and proportionate with its financial resources and consideration will be given to the management of interest rate risk and refinancing risk. The source from which the borrowing is taken and the type of borrowing should allow the Council transparency and control over its debt.*

*2.5 The Council's objectives, in relation to financial investments, in order of importance, remains*

- a. the preservation (security) of capital value*
- b. The liquidity or accessibility of the Council's financial investments*
- c. the yield earned on these investments*

## 5.4 Economic background and forecast commentary

The Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) voted 8-1 to raise Bank Rate by 0.15% from 0.10% to 0.25% and unanimously decided to make no changes to its programme of quantitative easing purchases due to finish in December 2021 at a total of £895bn at the meeting on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2021.

On 03 February 2022 the MPC voted by a majority of 5-4 to increase Bank Rate by 0.25 percentage points, to 0.5%. with the 4 members in the minority preferring to increase Bank Rate by 0.5 percentage points, to 0.75%. The Committee also voted unanimously for the Bank of England to begin to reduce the stock of UK government bond purchases by ceasing to reinvest maturing assets.

These are forecasts for the short term money market rates and PWLB borrowing at certainty rates, gilt yields plus 80 bps.

Link Group Interest Rate View 7.2.22													
	Mar-22	Jun-22	Sep-22	Dec-22	Mar-23	Jun-23	Sep-23	Dec-23	Mar-24	Jun-24	Sep-24	Dec-24	Mar-25
BANK RATE	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
3 month av. earnings	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
6 month av. earnings	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30
12 month av. earnings	1.40	1.50	1.60	1.70	1.70	1.60	1.60	1.50	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
5 yr PWLB	2.20	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30
10 yr PWLB	2.30	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40
25 yr PWLB	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60
50 yr PWLB	2.20	2.30	2.30	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40

### Recent UK Economic Data Releases

**On 10<sup>th</sup> December there was 0.1% m/m rise in GDP** in October which suggested that economic growth had already slowed to a crawl even before the Omicron variant was discovered in late November. Early evidence suggests growth in November might have been marginally better. Nonetheless, at such low rates of growth, the government's "Plan B" COVID-19 restrictions could cause the economy to contract in December.

**On 14<sup>th</sup> December, the labour market statistics** for the three months to October and the single month of October were released. The fallout after the furlough scheme was smaller and shorter than the Bank of England had feared. The single-month data were more informative and showed that LFS employment fell by 240,000, unemployment increased by 75,000 and the unemployment rate rose from 3.9% in September to 4.2%. However, the weekly data suggested this didn't last long as unemployment was falling again by the end of October. What's more, the 49,700 fall in the claimant count and the 257,000 rise in the PAYE measure of company payrolls suggests that the labour market strengthened again in November. The other side of the coin was a further rise in the number of vacancies from 1.182m to a record 1.219m in the three months to November which suggests that the supply of labour is struggling to keep up with demand, although the single-month figure for November fell for the first time since February, from 1.307m to 1.227m.

**On 15th December we had the CPI inflation** figure for November which spiked up further from 4.2% to 5.1%, confirming again how inflationary pressures have been building sharply. However, Omicron also caused a sharp fall in world oil and other commodity prices; (gas and electricity inflation has generally accounted on average for about 60% of the increase in inflation in advanced western economies).

### **Significant risks to the forecasts**

- **Mutations** of the virus render current vaccines ineffective, and tweaked vaccines to combat these mutations are delayed, or cannot be administered fast enough to prevent further lockdowns. 25% of the population not being vaccinated is also a significant risk to the NHS being overwhelmed and lockdowns being the only remaining option.
- **Labour and supply shortages** prove more enduring and disruptive and depress economic activity.
- **The Monetary Policy Committee** acts too quickly, or too far, over the next three years to raise Bank Rate and causes UK economic growth, and increases in inflation, to be weaker than we currently anticipate.
- **The Monetary Policy Committee** tightens monetary policy too late to ward off building inflationary pressures.
- **The Government** acts too quickly to cut expenditure to balance the national budget.
- **UK / EU trade arrangements** – if there was a major impact on trade flows and financial services due to complications or lack of co-operation in sorting out significant remaining issues.
- **Longer term US treasury yields** rise strongly and pull gilt yields up higher than forecast.
- **Major stock markets** e.g., in the US, become increasingly judged as being over-valued and susceptible to major price corrections. Central banks become increasingly exposed to the “moral hazard” risks of having to buy shares and corporate bonds to reduce the impact of major financial market selloffs on the general economy.
- **Geopolitical risks**, for example in Ukraine, Iran, North Korea, but also in Europe and Middle Eastern countries; on-going global power influence struggles between Russia/China/US. These could lead to increasing safe-haven flows.

### **Forecasts for PWLB rates and gilt and treasury yields**

Since the start of 2021, we have seen a lot of volatility in gilt yields, and hence PWLB rates. As the interest forecast table for PWLB certainty rates above shows, there is forecast to be a steady, but slow, rise in both Bank Rate and gilt yields during the forecast period to March 2025, though there will doubtless be a lot of unpredictable volatility during this forecast period.

While monetary policy in the UK will have a major impact on gilt yields, there is also a need to consider the potential impact that rising treasury yields in America could have on our gilt yields. As an average since 2011, there has been a 75% correlation between movements in US 10-year treasury yields and UK 10-year gilt yields. This is a significant UPWARD RISK exposure to our forecasts for longer term PWLB rates. However, gilt yields and treasury yields do not always move in unison.

## 5.5 The Treasury Management Role of the Section 151 Officer

### The S151 (responsible) officer

- recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- recommending the appointment of external service providers.
- preparation of a capital strategy to include capital expenditure, capital financing, non-financial investments and treasury management, with a long term timeframe
- ensuring that the capital strategy is prudent, sustainable, affordable and prudent in the long term and provides value for money
- ensuring that due diligence has been carried out on all treasury and non-financial investments and is in accordance with the risk appetite of the authority
- ensure that the authority has appropriate legal powers to undertake expenditure on non-financial assets and their financing
- ensuring the proportionality of all investments so that the authority does not undertake a level of investing which exposes the authority to an excessive level of risk compared to its financial resources
- ensuring that an adequate governance process is in place for the approval, monitoring and ongoing risk management of all non-financial investments and long term liabilities
- provision to councillors of a schedule of all non-treasury investments including material investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures, loans and financial guarantees
- ensuring that councillors are adequately informed and understand the risk exposures taken on by an authority
- ensuring that the authority has adequate expertise, either in house or externally provided, to carry out the above
- creation of Treasury Management Practices which specifically deal with how non treasury investments will be carried out and managed, to include the following : -
  - *Risk management (TMP1 and schedules), including investment and risk management criteria for any material non-treasury investment portfolios;*
  - *Performance measurement and management (TMP2 and schedules), including methodology and criteria for assessing the performance and success of non-treasury investments;*
  - *Decision making, governance and organisation (TMP5 and schedules), including a statement of the governance requirements for decision making in relation to non-treasury investments; and arrangements to ensure that*

*appropriate professional due diligence is carried out to support decision making;*

- *Reporting and management information (TMP6 and schedules), including where and how often monitoring reports are taken;*
- *Training and qualifications (TMP10 and schedules), including how the relevant knowledge and skills in relation to non-treasury investments will be arranged.*